

## Homework 7. Markov Chains on Graphs and Spectral Theory

Instructor: Yuan Yao

Due: 2 weeks later

The problem below marked by \* is optional with bonus credits.

1. *PageRank*: The following dataset contains Chinese (mainland) University Weblink during 12/2001-1/2002,

[https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/univ\\_cn.mat](https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/univ_cn.mat)

where `rank_cn` is the research ranking of universities in that year, `univ_cn` contains the webpages of universities, and `W_cn` is the link matrix from university  $i$  to  $j$ .

- Compute PageRank with Google's hyperparameter  $\alpha = 0.85$ ;
- Compute HITS authority and hub ranking using SVD of the link matrix;
- Compare these rankings against the research ranking (you may consider Kendall's  $\tau$  distance – as the number of pairwise mismatches between two orders – to compare different rankings);
- Compute extended PageRank with various hyperparameters  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , investigate its effect on ranking stability.

For your reference, an implementation of PageRank and HITS can be found at

<https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/pagerank.m>

2. *Perron Theorem*: Assume that  $A > 0$ . Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \delta \\ \text{s.t. } & Ax \geq \delta x \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lambda^*$  be optimal value with  $\nu^* \geq 0$ ,  $1^T \nu^* = 1$ , and  $A\nu^* \geq \lambda^* \nu^*$ . Show that

- $A\nu^* = \lambda^* \nu^*$ , i.e.  $(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$  is an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair of  $A$ ;

- $\nu^* > 0$ ;

\*

- $\lambda^*$  is unique and  $\nu^*$  is unique;

\*

- For other eigenvalue  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda z = Az$  when  $z \neq 0$ ),  $|\lambda| < \lambda^*$ .

3. *\*Absorbing Markov Chain:*

Let  $P$  be a row Markov matrix on  $n + 1$  states with non-absorbing state  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and absorbing state  $n + 1$ . Then  $P$  can be partitioned into

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} Q & R \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Assume that  $Q$  is primitive. Let  $N(i, j)$  be the expected number of jumps starting from nonabsorbent state  $i$  and hitting state  $j$ , before reaching the absorbing state  $n + 1$ . Show that

- (a)  $N(i, i) = 1 + \sum_k N(i, k)Q(k, i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (b)  $N(i, j) = \sum_k N(i, k)Q(k, j)$ , for  $i \neq j$ ;
- (c) These identities together imply that  $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ , called the fundamental matrix;
- (d) Show that the probability of absorption from state  $i$ ,  $B(i)$  ( $i = 1 \dots, n$ ), is given by  $B = NR$ .

4. *Spectral Bipartition:* Consider the 374-by-475 matrix  $X$  of character-event for A Dream of Red Mansions, e.g. in the Matlab format

<https://github.com/yuany-pku/dream-of-the-red-chamber/blob/master/HongLouMeng374.txt>

with a readme file:

<https://github.com/yuany-pku/dream-of-the-red-chamber/blob/master/README.md>

Construct a weighted adjacency matrix for character-cooccurrence network  $A = XX^T$ . Define the degree matrix  $D = \text{diag}(\sum_j A_{ij})$ . Check if the graph is connected. If you are not familiar with this novel and would like to work on a different network, you may consider the Karate Club Network:

<https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/karate.mat>

that contains a 34-by-34 adjacency matrix.

- (a) Find the second smallest generalized eigenvector of  $L = D - A$ , i.e.  $(D - A)f = \lambda_2 f$  where  $\lambda_2 > 0$ ;
- (b) Sort the nodes (characters) according to the ascending order of  $f$ , such that  $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_n$ , and construct the subset  $S_i = \{1, \dots, i\}$ ;
- (c) Find an optimal subset  $S^*$  such that the following is minimized

$$\alpha_f = \min_{S_i} \left\{ \frac{|\partial S_i|}{\min(|S_i|, |\bar{S}_i|)} \right\}$$

where  $|\partial S_i| = \sum_{x \sim y, x \in S_i, y \in \bar{S}_i} A_{xy}$  and  $|S_i| = \sum_{x \in S_i} d_x = \sum_{x \in S_i, y} A_{xy}$ .

- (d) Check if  $\lambda_2 > \alpha_f$ ;

- (e) Quite often people find a suboptimal cut by  $S^+ = \{i : f_i \geq 0\}$  and  $S^- = \{i : f_i < 0\}$ . Compute its Cheeger ratio

$$h_{S^+} = \frac{|\partial S^+|}{\min(|S^+|, |S^-|)}$$

and compare it with  $\alpha_f, \lambda_2$ .

- (f) You may further recursively bipartite the subgraphs into two groups, which gives a recursive spectral bipartition.
5. *Degree Corrected Stochastic Block Model (DCSBM)*: A random graph is generated from a DCSBM with respect to partition  $\Omega = \{\Omega_k : k = 1, \dots, K\}$  if its adjacency matrix  $A \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N}$  has the following expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[A] = \mathcal{A} = \Theta Z B Z^T \Theta$$

where  $Z^{N \times k}$  has row vectors  $\in \{0, 1\}^K$  as the block membership function  $z : V \rightarrow \Omega$ ,

$$z_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in \Omega_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and  $\Theta = \text{diag}(\theta_i)$  is the expected degree satisfying,

$$\sum_{i \in \Omega_k} \theta_i = 1, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, K.$$

The following matlab codes simulate a DCSBM of  $nK$  nodes, written by Kaizheng Wang,

<https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/DCSBM.m>

Construct a DCSBM yourself, and simulate random graphs of 10 times. Then try to compare the following two spectral clustering methods in finding the  $K$  blocks (communities).

- Alg. A [1] Compute the *top*  $K$  generalized eigenvector

$$(D - A)\phi_i = \lambda_i D\phi_i,$$

construct a  $K$ -dimensional embedding of  $V$  using  $\Phi^{N \times K} = [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_K]$ ;

- [2] Run  $k$ -means algorithm (call `kmeans` in matlab) on  $\Phi$  to find  $K$  clusters.

- Alg. B [1] Compute the *bottom*  $K$  eigenvector of

$$\mathcal{L} = D^{-1/2}(D - A)D^{-1/2} = U\Lambda U^T,$$

construct an embedding of  $V$  using  $U^{N \times K}$ ;

- [2] Normalized the row vectors  $u_{i*}$  on to the sphere:  $\hat{u}_{i*} = u_{i*}/\|u_{i*}\|$ ;

- [3] Run  $k$ -means algorithm (call `kmeans` in matlab) on  $\hat{U}$  to find  $K$  clusters.

You may run it multiple times with a stabler clustering. Suppose the estimated membership function is  $\hat{z} : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}$  in either methods. Compare the performance using mutual information between membership function  $z$  and estimate  $\hat{z}$ ,

$$I(z, \hat{z}) = \sum_{s,t=1}^K \text{Prob}(z_i = s, \hat{z}_i = t) \log \frac{\text{Prob}(z_i = s, \hat{z}_i = t)}{\text{Prob}(z_i = s)\text{Prob}(\hat{z}_i = t)}. \quad (1)$$

For example,

<https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/NormalizedMI.m>

6. *\*Directed Graph Laplacian:* Consider the following dataset with Chinese (mainland) University Weblink during 12/2001-1/2002,

[https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/univ\\_cn.mat](https://github.com/yao-lab/yao-lab.github.io/blob/master/data/univ_cn.mat)

where `rank_cn` is the research ranking of universities in that year, `univ_cn` contains the webpages of universities, and `W_cn` is the link matrix from university  $i$  to  $j$ .

Define a PageRank Markov Chain

$$P = \alpha P_0 + (1 - \alpha) \frac{1}{n} ee^T, \quad \alpha = 0.85$$

where  $P_0 = D_{out}^{-1}A$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  be the stationary distribution of  $P$ , i.e. PageRank vector. Define  $\Phi = \text{diag}(\phi_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

- (a) Construct the normalized directed Laplacian

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}} = I - \frac{1}{2}(\Phi^{1/2}P\Phi^{-1/2} + \Phi^{-1/2}P^T\Phi^{1/2})$$

- (b) Use the second eigenvector of  $\vec{\mathcal{L}}$  to bipartite the universities into two groups, and describe your algorithm in detail;
- (c) Try to explain your observation through directed graph Cheeger inequality.
7. *\*Chung's Short Proof of Cheeger's Inequality:*

Chung's short proof is based on the fact that

$$h_G = \inf_{f \neq 0} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\sum_{x \sim y} |f(x) - f(y)|}{\sum_x |f(x) - c| d_x} \quad (2)$$

where the supreme over  $c$  is reached at  $c^* \in \text{median}(f(x) : x \in V)$ . Such a claim can be found in Theorem 2.9 in Chung's monograph, Spectral Graph Theory. In fact, Theorem 2.9

implies that the infimum above is reached at certain function  $f$ . From here,

$$\lambda_1 = R(f) = \sup_c \frac{\sum_{x \sim y} (f(x) - f(y))^2}{\sum_x (f(x) - c)^2 d_x}, \quad (3)$$

$$\geq \frac{\sum_{x \sim y} (g(x) - g(y))^2}{\sum_x g(x)^2 d_x}, \quad g(x) = f(x) - c \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{(\sum_{x \sim y} (g(x) - g(y))^2)(\sum_{x \sim y} (g(x) + g(y))^2)}{(\sum_{x \in V} g^2(x) d_x)(\sum_{x \sim y} (g(x) + g(y))^2)} \quad (5)$$

$$\geq \frac{(\sum_{x \sim y} |g^2(x) - g^2(y)|)^2}{(\sum_{x \in V} g^2(x) d_x)(\sum_{x \sim y} (g(x) + g(y))^2)}, \quad \text{Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality} \quad (6)$$

$$\geq \frac{(\sum_{x \sim y} |g^2(x) - g^2(y)|)^2}{2(\sum_{x \in V} g^2(x) d_x)^2}, \quad (g(x) + g(y))^2 \leq 2(g^2(x) + g^2(y)) \quad (7)$$

$$\geq \frac{h_G^2}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Is there any step **wrong** in the reasoning above? If yes, can you remedy it/them?