Attention, Transformer, and BERT

Yuan YAO

HKUST

Summary

- We have shown:
  - CNN Architectures: LeNet5, Alexnet, VGG, GoogleNet, Resnet
  - Recurrent Neural Networks and LSTM
- Today:
  - **Attention**
  - **Transformer**
  - **BERT**
- Reference:
  - Feifei Li, Stanford cs231n
  - Chris Manning, Stanford cs224n

A Brief History in NLP

- In 2013-2015, LSTMs started achieving state-of-the-art results
  - Successful tasks include: handwriting recognition, speech
  - recognition, machine translation, parsing, image captioning
  - LSTM became the dominant approach
- Now (2019), other approaches (e.g. Transformers) have become more dominant for certain tasks.
  - For example in **WMT** (a MT conference + competition):
  - In WMT 2016, the summary report contains ”RNN” 44 times
  - In WMT 2018, the report contains “RNN” 9 times and “Transformer” 63 times

  - **Source:** "Findings of the 2016 Conference on Machine Translation (WMT16)", Bojar et al. 2016, http://www.statmt.org/wmt16/pdf/W16-2301.pdf
  - **Source:** "Findings of the 2018 Conference on Machine Translation (WMT18)", Bojar et al. 2018, http://www.statmt.org/wmt18/pdf/WMT028.pdf

Neural Machine Translation (NMT)



Sequence-to-sequence is versatile!

- Sequence-to-sequence is useful for *more than just MT*
- Many NLP tasks can be phrased as sequence-to-sequence:
  - Summarization (long text → short text)
  - Dialogue (previous utterances → next utterance)
  - Parsing (input text → output parse as sequence)
  - Code generation (natural language → Python code)



Training a NMT system by BP



Greedy Decoding

- We generate (or "decode") the target sentence by taking argmax on each step of the decoder
- This is greedy decoding (take most probable word on each step)



Sequence-to-sequence: the bottleneck problem



Attention Mechanism

It was firstly invented in computer vision, then to NLP.

Sequence-to-sequence with attention




dot product
Attention scores
Encoder RNN
Decoder RNN
il
a
m'
entarté
<START>
Source sentence (input)


On this decoder timestep, we're mostly focusing on the first encoder hidden state ("he")
Take softmax to turn the scores into a probability distribution
Attention distribution
Attention scores
Encoder RNN
Decoder RNN
il
a
m'
entarté
<START>
Source sentence (input)



Use the attention distribution to take a **weighted sum** of the encoder hidden states.

The attention output mostly contains information from the hidden states that received high attention.



Concatenate attention output with decoder hidden state, then use to compute as before


Attention output
pie
$\hat{y}_6$
Attention distribution
Attention scores
Encoder RNN
Decoder RNN
il
a
m'
entarté
<START>
he
hit
me
with
a
Source sentence (input)

Attention in Equations

- We have encoder hidden states $h_1, \ldots, h_N \in \mathbb{R}^h$
- On timestep t, we have decoder hidden state $s_t \in \mathbb{R}^h$
- We get the attention scores $\boldsymbol{e}^t$ for this step:

$$\boldsymbol{e}^t = [\boldsymbol{s}_t^T \boldsymbol{h}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{s}_t^T \boldsymbol{h}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

- We take softmax to get the attention distribution α^t for this step (this is a probability distribution and sums to 1)

$$\alpha^t = \mathrm{softmax}(\boldsymbol{e}^t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

- We use α^t to take a weighted sum of the encoder hidden states to get the attention output

$$\boldsymbol{a}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i^t \boldsymbol{h}_i \in \mathbb{R}^h$$

- Finally we concatenate the attention output $\boldsymbol{a}_t$ with the decoder hidden state s_t and proceed as in the non-attention seq2seq model

$$[\boldsymbol{a}_t; \boldsymbol{s}_t] \in \mathbb{R}^{2h}$$

Motivation of Transformer

- We want **parallelization** but RNNs are inherently sequential



- Despite LSTMs, RNNs generally need attention mechanism to deal with long range dependencies – **path length** between states grows with distance otherwise
- But if **attention** gives us access to any state… maybe we can just use attention and don't need the RNN? 🤔
- And then NLP can have deep models … and solve our vision envy

Transformer

"Attention is all you need"

Transformer (Vaswani et al. 2017)
"Attention is all you need"

- https://arxiv.org/pdf/1706.03762.pdf
- **Non-recurrent** sequence-to-sequence model
- A **deep** model with a sequence of **attention**-based transformer blocks
- Depth allows a certain amount of lateral information transfer in understanding sentences, in slightly unclear ways
- Final cost/error function is standard cross-entropy error on top of a softmax classifier
- Initially built for NMT:
  - Task: machine translation with parallel corpus
  - Predict each translated word



Transformer Pytorch Notebook

- Learning about transformers on your own?
- Key recommended resource:
  - http://nlp.seas.harvard.edu/2018/04/03/attention.html
  - The Annotated Transformer by Sasha Rush, a Jupyter Notebook using PyTorch that explains everything!

  - https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-transformer/
  - Illustrated Transformer by Jay Alammar, a Cartoon about Transformer with attention visualization notebook based on Tensor2Tensor.

Encoder-Decoder Blocks

Encoder-Decoder



N=6 layers



Encoder has two layers

Self-Attention + FeedForward





Attention Illustration

Embedding->(q,k,v)



Dot-Product Attention



Dot-Product Self-Attention: Definition

- Inputs: a query q and a set of key-value (k-v) pairs, to an output
- Query, keys, values, and output are all vectors
- Output is weighted sum of values, where
  - Weight of each value is computed by an inner product of query and corresponding key
  - Queries and keys have same dimensionality d_k, value have d_v

$$A(q, K, V) = \sum_i \frac{e^{q \cdot k_i}}{\sum_j e^{q \cdot k_j}} v_i$$

Attention: Multiple Inputs

Matrix input



Scaled dot-product



Dot-Product Attention: Matrix Form

- When we have multiple queries q, we stack them in a matrix Q:

$$A(q, K, V) = \sum_i \frac{e^{q \cdot k_i}}{\sum_j e^{q \cdot k_j}} v_i$$

$$\longrightarrow \quad A(Q, K, V) = softmax(QK^T)V$$

$[|Q| \times d_k] \times [d_k \times |K|] \times [|K| \times d_v]$

softmax
row-wise



$= [|Q| \times d_v]$

Scaled Dot-Product Attention

- **Problem**: As d_k gets large, the variance of q^Tk increases
- some values inside the softmax get large
- the softmax gets very peaked
- hence its gradient gets smaller.

- Solution: Scale by length of query/key vectors:

$$A(Q,K,V) = softmax(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}})V$$



Multi-head Attention

- **Problem** with simple self-attention:
  - Only one way for words to interact with one-another
- **Solution**: Multi-head attention
  - First map Q, K, V into h=8 many lower dimensional spaces via W matrices
  - Then apply attention, then concatenate outputs and pipe through linear layer
  - Multi-head attention allows the model to jointly attend to information from different representation subspaces at different positions.

$$\mathrm{MultiHead}(Q,K,V) = \mathrm{Concat}(\mathrm{head}_1, \ldots, \mathrm{head}_h)W^O$$
$$\text{where } \mathrm{head}_i = \mathrm{Attention}(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$$



Multihead

2 heads



h=8 heads



Concatenation

Linear



Multi-head Attention



A Transformer block

- Each block has two “sublayers”
  - Multihead attention
  - 2-layer feed-forward NNet (with ReLU)
- Each of these two steps also has:
  - Residual (short-cut) connection: x+sublayer(x)
  - LayerNorm(x+sublayer(x)) changes input features to have mean 0, variance 1, and adds two more parameters (Ba et al. 2016)



$$\mu^l = \frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{H} a_i^l \qquad \sigma^l = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\left(a_i^l - \mu^l\right)^2}$$

$$h_i = f\left(\frac{g_i}{\sigma_i}\left(a_i - \mu_i\right) + b_i\right)$$

Residue (Shortcut)



$$\mu^l = \frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{H} a_i^l \qquad \sigma^l = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\left(a_i^l - \mu^l\right)^2}$$

$$h_i = f\left(\frac{g_i}{\sigma_i}\left(a_i - \mu_i\right) + b_i\right)$$

Encoder Input

- Actual word representations are word pieces: byte pair encoding
  - Start with a vocabulary of characters
  - Most frequent ngram pairs ↦ a new ngram
  - Example: “es, est” 9 times, “lo” 7 times

Dictionary

5 **lo** w
2 **lo** w e r
6 n e w est
3 w i d est

Vocabulary

l, o, w, e, r, n, w, s, t, i, d, es, est, **lo**

- Also added is a **positional encoding** so same words at different locations have different overall representations:

$$PE_{(pos,2i)} = sin(pos/10000^{2i/d_{\text{model}}})$$
$$PE_{(pos,2i+1)} = cos(pos/10000^{2i/d_{\text{model}}})$$

Or learned




ENCODER #1
DECODER #1
ENCODER #0
DECODER #0
EMBEDDING WITH TIME SIGNAL
POSITIONAL ENCODING
EMBEDDINGS
INPUT
Je
suis
étudiant


POSITIONAL ENCODING
0 0 1 1
0.84 0.0001 0.54 1
0.91 0.0002 -0.42 1
EMBEDDINGS
INPUT
Je
suis
étudiant

Sin/Cos Position Encoding



Figure. Each row corresponds the a positional encoding of a vector. So the first row would be the vector we'd add to the embedding of the first word in an input sequence. Each row contains 512 values – each with a value between 1 and -1. We've color-coded them so the pattern is visible.

Transformer Encoder

- Blocks are repeated N=6 or more times





Transformer Decoder

- 2 sublayer changes in decoder
  - Masked decoder self-attention on previously generated outputs
  - Encoder-Decoder Attention, where queries come from previous decoder layer and keys and values come from output of encoder
- Blocks repeated N=6 times also



Encoder-Decoder





Illustration of Encoder-Decoder



Illustration of Encoder-Decoder



Attention Visualization

Head 2 (yellow) only



8 heads mixture



Empirical advantages of Transformer vs. LSTM

- 1. Self-attention == no locality bias
  - Long-distance context has "equal opportunity"
- 2. Single multiplication per layer == efficiency on TPU



Bi-Direction

Motivation of Bidirection

<u>Task: Sentiment Classification</u>



We can regard this hidden state as a representation of the word *"terribly"* in the context of this sentence. We call this a *contextual representation.*

These contextual representations only contain information about the *left* context (e.g. *"the movie was"*).

What about *right* context?

In this example, *"exciting"* is in the right context and this modifies the meaning of *"terribly"* (from negative to positive)

Bidirectional RNNs



Bidirectional RNN: simplified diagram

On timestep t:

This is a general notation to mean "compute one forward step of the RNN" – it could be a vanilla, LSTM or GRU computation.

Forward RNN $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(t)} = \boxed{\mathrm{RNN}_{\mathrm{FW}}}(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(t-1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)})$

Backward RNN $\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(t)} = \mathrm{RNN}_{\mathrm{BW}}(\overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(t+1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)})$

Generally, these two RNNs have separate weights

Concatenated hidden states $\boxed{\boldsymbol{h}^{(t)}} = [\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(t)}; \overleftarrow{\boldsymbol{h}}^{(t)}]$

We regard this as "the hidden state" of a bidirectional RNN. This is what we pass on to the next parts of the network.

Bidirectional RNN: simplified diagram

- The two-way arrows indicate bidirectionality and the depicted hidden states are assumed to be the concatenated forwards+backwards states.



Bidirectional RNNs

- Note: bidirectional RNNs are only applicable if you have access to the **entire input sequence**.
  - They are **not** applicable to Language Modeling, because in LM you *only* have left context available.
- If you do have entire input sequence (e.g. any kind of encoding), bidirectionality is powerful (you should use it by default).
- For example, **BERT** (**Bidirectional** Encoder Representations from Transformers) is a powerful pretrained contextual representation system built on bidirectionality.

Uni-Direction LSTM

- Semi-Supervised Sequence Learning, Google, 2015



Bi-Direction: ELMo -- Embeddings from Language Models

- Peters et al. (2018) Deep Contextual Word Embeddings, NAACL 2018. https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.05365
- Learn a deep Bi-NLM and use all its layers in prediction



GPT (Generative Pre-Training): uni-directional transformer

- *Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training*, OpenAI, 2018



How about bi-directional transformers? – Yes, BERT!



BERT: Devlin, Chang, Lee, Toutanova (2018)

- BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers):
- Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding, which is then fine-tuned for a task
- Want: truly bidirectional information flow without leakage in a deep model

Masked Language Model

- **Problem**: How the words see each other in bi-directions?
- **Solution**: Mask out *k*% of the input words, and then predict the masked words
  - We always use *k* = 15%

```
                                  store                      gallon
                                    ↑                          ↑
the man went to the [MASK] to buy a [MASK] of milk
```

- Too little masking: Too expensive to train
- Too much masking: Not enough context

Masked LM

- **Problem**: Masked token never seen at fine-tuning
- **Solution**: 15% of the words to predict, but don't replace with [MASK] 100% of the time. Instead:
- 80% of the time, replace with [MASK]
  - went to the store → went to the [MASK]
- 10% of the time, replace random word
  - went to the store → went to the running
- 10% of the time, keep same
  - went to the store → went to the store

Next Sentence Prediction

- To learn *relationships* between sentences, predict whether Sentence B is actual sentence that proceeds Sentence A, or a random sentence

Sentence A = `The man went to the store.` **Sentence B** = `He bought a gallon of milk.` **Label** = `IsNextSentence`	**Sentence A** = `The man went to the store.` **Sentence B** = `Penguins are flightless.` **Label** = `NotNextSentence`

BERT sentence pair encoding

- Token embeddings are word pieces (30k)
- Learned segmented embedding represents each sentence
- Positional embedding is as for other Transformer architectures



Training

- Data: Wikipedia (2.5B words) + BookCorpus (800M words)
- Batch Size: 131,072 words
  - (1024 sequences * 128 length or 256 sequences * 512 length)
- Training Time: 1M steps (~40 epochs)
- Optimizer: AdamW, 1e-4 learning rate, linear decay
- Train 2 model sizes:
  - BERT-Base: 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-head
  - BERT-Large: 24-layer, 1024-hidden, 16-head
- Trained on 4x4 or 8x8 TPU slice for 4 days

BERT model fine tuning

- Simply learn a classifier built on the top layer for each task that you fine tune for



BERT model fine tuning



(a) Sentence Pair Classification Tasks: MNLI, QQP, QNLI, STS-B, MRPC, RTE, SWAG

(b) Single Sentence Classification Tasks: SST-2, CoLA

(c) Question Answering Tasks: SQuAD v1.1

(d) Single Sentence Tagging Tasks: CoNLL-2003 NER

Attention Visualization

Words start to pay attention to other words in sensible ways



In 5th layer. Isolated attentions from just the word 'its' for attention heads 5 and 6. Note that the attentions are very sharp for this word.

Rapid Progress for Pre-training (GLUE Benchmark)



Over 3x reduction in error in 2 years, “superhuman” performance

But let's change the x-axis to computational cost...



BERT-Large uses 60x more compute than ELMo

But let's change the x-axis to computational cost…



RoBERTa uses 16x more compute than BERT-Large

More compute, more better?



ALBERT uses 10x more compute than RoBERTa

ELECTRA: "Efficiently Learning an Encoder to Classify Token Replacements Accurately"

- Clark, Luong, Le, and Manning, ICLR 2020. https://openreview.net/pdf?id=r1xMH1BtvB
- Bidirectional model but learn from all tokens



Generating Replacements



Results: GLUE Score vs Compute



Thank you!